



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/764,750

01/18/2001

S. K. Lin

3158/OI189

7989

7590

05/27/2004

DARBY & DARBY P.C.  
805 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, JENNIFER T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2674

10

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/764,750

Applicant(s)

LIN ET AL.

Examiner

Jennifer T Nguyen

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                                |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 03/15/2004.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,088) in view of Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,515,080) and further in view of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,513).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Fig. 2, Yang teaches LCD monitor comprising: a panel module (20) having a gate driver (22) and a source driver (24); a control board (10) disposed on a first side of the panel module, comprising: an input interface (12) for receiving plural types video signal (i.e., V1-V3), adapted to select a first type video signal from the plural types of video signal and to generate a first video signal according to the first type video signal; a scaler module (16) is provided to receive the first digital video signal (V1); a micro-processing device (14) adapted to output a control signal to generate a gate/source-driving signal for the gate driver and the source driver according to the first digital video signal (col. 2, line 51 to col. 4, line 13).

Yang differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach the scaler module comprising time control unit and a cover structure conjugating the frame structure in the aspect of the first side, and covering upon the first side of the panel module and the control board

Art Unit: 2674

thereon. However, referring to Fig. 2, Nakamura teaches scaler module (24) comprising time control unit (43, 45) (from 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 18) and referring to Figs. 10-12, Nakano teaches a frame structure (SHD), covering the periphery of the panel module; and a cover structure (LF1, LF2) conjugating the frame structure (SHD) in the aspect of the first side, and covering upon the first side of the panel module and the control board thereon (col. 14, lines 28-55 and col. 15, lines 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the time control unit as taught by Nakamura and the frame structure and cover structure as taught by Nakano in the system of Yang in order to allow controlling the performances of the pixels in predetermined conditions and protect the inner electronic devices.

Regarding claim 3, Yang further teaches the video signal is provided from a computer, and the digital signal comprises RGB signals (col. 3, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 4, Yang further teaches the input interface comprises an A/D converter (126) (col. 3, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano teaches the conventional LCD monitor comprises input interface is further adapted to select a second-type video signal from the plural types of video signals, and generate a second digital video signal according to the second-type video signal to the scale module, and the micro-processing device outputs a corresponding second control signal that controls the scale module to generate the gate/source-driving signal according to the second digital video signal, wherein the second-type video signal is from a video device (col. 2 of Yang, line 51 to col. 4, line 13).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano teaches a switching board (47) that is adapted to provide a switching signal to the scale module (24), whereby adjusting the gate/source driving signal and regulating the performance of pictures displayed on the panel module (col. 5, lines 19-65 of Nakamura).

Regarding claim 7, Yang further teaches a power module (18) for supplying electric power to the LCD monitor (col. 4, lines 30-47).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Yang further teaches the power module comprises an AC/DC adapter for converting an alternating current source into at least one direct current source, wherein the direct current source is adapted to supply the LCD monitor direct currents (col. 4, lines 30-47).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano teaches the cover structure is fabricated from materials for resisting electromagnetic effects (col. 2, lines 37-45 of Nakano).

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,088) and Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,515,080) in view of Nakano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,513) and further in view of Dagleish (U. S. Patent No. 6,373,476).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano differs from claim 2 in that it does not specifically teach video signals comprises an EDID signal and memory device for storing the EDID signal. However, Dagleish Teaches a video signal comprises an EDID signal (from col. 4, line 58 to col. 5, line 6). Dagleish does not specifically teach the memory device is on control board. However, it would have been obvious to obtain the memory device is on control board in order to provide a simpler modular arrangement for the monitor.

Art Unit: 2674

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the EDID signal as taught by Dalglish in the system of the combination of Yang, Nakamura, and Nakano in order to provide a communicating protocol between a host computer and the LCD monitor.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reach at **703-305-4709**.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

**Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

JNguyen  
05/20/2004

  
**REGINA LIANG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**